arXiv:1406.5684v1 [math.NT] 22 Jun 2014

PERFECT NUMBERS AND FIBONACCI PRIMES (II)

TIANXIN CAI, LIUQUAN WANG, AND YONG ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study the diophantine equation $\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = An + B$. We prove that except for finitely many computable solutions, all the solutions to this equation with $(A, B) = (L_{2m}, F_{2m}^2 - 1)$ are $n = F_{2k+1}F_{2k+2m+1}$, where both F_{2k+1} and $F_{2k+2m+1}$ are Fibonacci primes. Meanwhile, we show that the twin primes conjecture holds if and only if the equation $\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = 2n + 5$ has infinitely many solutions.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Since ancient Greek, a perfect number means a positive integers which equals to the sum of its proper divisors. Equivalently, a positive integer n is a perfect number if and only if

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d = n.$$

Let $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$, and usually $\sigma_1(n)$ is briefly written as $\sigma(n)$. The perfect numbers are exactly those integers n which satisfy $\sigma(n) = 2n$. Euler proved that all even perfect numbers are $n = 2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)$, where both p and $2^p - 1$ are primes.

Some variants and generalizations of perfect numbers have been studied by many mathematicians, including great Fermat, Descartes, Mersenne and Euler, see [2, 3, 4].

In spring 2013, the first author defined the so-called F-perfect number n which satisfies

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d^2 = 3n, \tag{1}$$

and he called the original one M-perfect numbers (In China-Japan Number Theory Conference hold in Fukuoka, 2013, Japanese number theoriest Kohji Matsumoto suggested to name them female perfect numbers and male perfect numbers). Cai, Chen and Zhang [2] proved

Theorem 1. *All the solutions of* (1) *are* $n = F_{2k-1}F_{2k+1}$*, where both* F_{2k-1} *and* F_{2k+1} *are Fibonacci primes.*

Date: June 22, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B83; Secondary 11D09, 11D25.

Key words and phrases. F-perfect number, Fibonacci prime, Lucas prime, twin primes.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 11351002.

There are 5 known F-perfect numbers. They also showed that for any pair of positive integers $(a,b) \neq (2,3)$ and $a \geq 2$, the equation

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d^a = bn$$

has only finitely many solutions.

In this paper, we study

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = An + B, \tag{2}$$

where A and B are given intergers.

The main theorem we obtain is

Theorem 2. (i) *If $A = 0$ and $B = 1$, then all the solutions of (2) are $n = p$, where p is prime.*
(ii) *If $A = 1$ and $B = 1$, then all the solutions of (2) are $n = p^2$, where p is prime.*
(iii) *If $(A,B) \neq (0,1)$ or $(1,1)$, then except for finitely many computable solutions in the range $n \leq (|A| + |B|)^3$, all the solutions of (2) are $n = pq$, where $p < q$ are primes which satisfy the following equation*

$$p^2 + q^2 + (1 - B) = Apq. \tag{3}$$

For special pairs (A,B), (3) are solvable. Let m be any positive integer, we have

Theorem 3. *Except for finitely many computable solutions in the range $n \leq (L_{2m} + F_{2m}^2 - 1)^3$, all the solutions of*

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = L_{2m}n - (F_{2m}^2 - 1) \tag{4}$$

are
(i) *$n = F_{2k+1}F_{2k+2m+1} (k \geq 0)$, both F_{2k+1} and $F_{2k+2m+1}$ are Fibonacci primes;*
(ii) *$n = F_{2k+1}F_{2m-2k-1} (0 \leq k < m, k \neq \frac{m-1}{2})$, both F_{2k+1} and $F_{2m-2k-1}$ are Fibonacci primes.*

We call those solutions of (4) which are not in the form of (i) or (ii) exceptional solutions. For $1 \leq m \leq 5$, by using Mathematica, we find that there are no exceptional solutions. In particular, if $m = 1$, (4) becomes (1), and Theorem 3 becomes Theorem 1. For $1 \leq m \leq 3$, by the list of known Fibonacci primes [6], we could find those known solutions.

m	$L_{2m}n - (F_{2m}^2 - 1)$	n
1	$3n$	$F_3F_5, F_5F_7, F_{11}F_{13}, F_{431}F_{433}, F_{569}F_{571}$
2	$7n - 8$	$F_3F_7, F_7F_{11}, F_{13}F_{17}, F_{43}F_{47}$
3	$18n - 63$	$F_5F_{11}, F_7F_{13}, F_{11}F_{17},$ $F_{17}F_{23}, F_{23}F_{29}, F_{131}F_{137}$

TABLE 1. Solutions of (4) for $1 \leq m \leq 3$.

The two solutions $F_{431}F_{433}, F_{569}F_{571}$ in the above table has 180 and 238 digits, respectively.

The exceptional solutions may exist for larger m. For example, if $m = 6$, (4) becomes

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = 322n - 20735.$$

There is exactly one exceptional solution $n = 1755 = 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. For other m, the exceptional solution may exist as well, but it would be difficult to find those m and n such that (4) has no exceptional solutions.

Meanwhile, we have

Theorem 4. *Except for finitely many computable solutions in the range* $n \leq (L_{2m}^2 + L_{2m} - 3)^3$*, all the solutions of*

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = L_{2m}n + (L_{2m}^2 - 3) \tag{5}$$

are $n = L_{2k-1}L_{2k+2m-1}$*, where both* L_{2k-1} *and* $L_{2k+2m-1}$ *are Lucas primes.*

Theorem 5. *Except for finitely many computable solutions in the range* $n \leq (L_{2m} + L_{2m}^2 - 5)^3$*, all the solutions of*

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = L_{2m}n - (L_{2m}^2 - 5) \tag{6}$$

are
(i) $n = L_{2k}L_{2k+2m}(k \geq 0)$*, both* L_{2k} *and* L_{2k+2m} *are Lucas primes.*
(ii) $n = L_{2k}L_{2m-2k}(0 \leq k \leq m, k \neq \frac{m}{2})$*, both* L_{2k} *and* L_{2m-2k} *are Lucas primes.*

Similarly, we call those solutions of (5) or (6) which are not the product of two Lucas primes exceptional solutions. From this two theorems and by Mathematica, we figure out that for (5) and (6), there are no exceptional solutions for $1 \leq m \leq 5$. The following table gives the known solutions of (5) with $1 \leq m \leq 5$.

m	$L_{2m}n + (L_{2m}^2 - 3)$	n
1	$3n + 6$	$L_5L_7, L_{11}L_{13}, L_{17}L_{19}$
2	$7n + 46$	$L_7L_{11}, L_{13}L_{17}, L_{37}L_{41}, L_{613}L_{617}$
3	$18n + 321$	$L_5L_{11}, L_7L_{13}, L_{11}L_{17}, L_{13}L_{19}, L_{31}L_{37}, L_{41}L_{47}, L_{47}L_{53}, L_{4787}L_{4793}$

TABLE 2. Solutions of (5) for $1 \leq m \leq 3$.

The two solutions $n = L_{613}L_{617}$ and $L_{4787}L_{4793}$ in above table have 258 and 2003 digits, respectively.

Finally, we have

Theorem 6. *Let* A *and* k *be positive integers, consider the equation*

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = An + (k^2 + 1). \tag{7}$$

(i) *If* $A \neq 2$ *or* k *is odd, then* (7) *has only finitely many solutions;*
(ii) *If* $A = 2$ *and* k *is even, then except for finitely many computable solutions in the range* $n < (|A| + k^2 + 1)^3$*, all the solutions of* (7) *are* $n = p(p + k)$*, where both* p *and* $p + k$ *are primes.*

Corollary 1. *For any even integer* k*, there are infinitely many prime pairs* $(p, p+k)$ *(Polignac's conjecture) if and only if the equation*

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = 2n + (k^2 + 1)$$

has infinitely many solutions.

In particular, twin primes conjecture holds if and only if the equation

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = 2n + 5$$

has infinitely many solutions.

2. Proofs of the Theorems

In order to prove the theorems, we need the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 1 (cf. [5]). *Let m, n be nonnegative integers and $n \geq m$, then*
(i) $5F_n^2 + 4(-1)^n = L_n^2$.
(ii) $L_m L_n + 5F_m F_n = 2L_{m+n}$.
(iii) $F_n L_m = F_{n+m} + (-1)^m F_{n-m}$.
(iv) $L_n F_m = F_{n+m} - (-1)^m F_{n-m}$.
(v) $5F_m F_n = L_{m+n} - (-1)^m L_{n-m}$.

Lemma 2 (cf. Example 4, page 51 of [1]). *Suppose that a and b are positive integers satisfying $a^2 - 5b^2 = -4$, then there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $a = L_{2k+1}$ and $b = F_{2k+1}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. (i) is obvious, since $\sigma_2(n) = n^2 + 1$ if and only if n is a prime. To prove (ii) and (iii), we might assume $(A, B) \neq (0, 1)$.

Suppose $n > (|A| + |B|)^3$ is a solution of (2).

If $n = abc$ where $1 < a < b < c$ are positive integers. By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 \geq a^2b^2 + b^2c^2 + c^2a^2 \geq 3(a^4b^4c^4)^{1/3} = 3n^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Thus

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 \geq 3n^{4/3} > n \cdot n^{1/3} > n(|A| + |B|) \geq An + B.$$

A contradiction! Hence n couldn't be a product of three distinct positive integers which are greater than 1.

Let $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n. If $\omega(n) \geq 3$, then obviously we can write $n = abc$ where $1 < a < b < c$. A contradiction! From now on, we suppose $\omega(n) \leq 2$.

Case 1: If $\omega(n) = 1$, write $n = p^\alpha$ where p is a prime. Since $n = p \cdot p^2 \cdot p^{\alpha-3}$, we must have $\alpha \leq 5$.

If $\alpha = 1$, (2) becomes $Ap + B = 1$, which has at most one solution $p = (1 - B)/A$.

If $\alpha = 2$, from (2) we deduce that $p^2(1 - A) = B - 1$. If $A = 1$ and $B = 1$, there are infinitely many solutions $n = p^2$, where p could be any prime. If $A = 1$ and $B \neq 1$, there are no solutions. If $A \neq 1$, then (2) has at most one solution $n = p^2 = (B - 1)/(1 - A)$.

If $3 \leq \alpha \leq 5$, from (2) we deduce that $p(p + p^3 + \cdots + p^{2\alpha-3} - Ap^{\alpha-1}) = B - 1$. Note that

$$p + p^3 + \cdots + p^{2\alpha-3} - Ap^{\alpha-1} \equiv p \,(\mathrm{mod}\, p^2),$$

hence $p + p^3 + \cdots + p^{2\alpha-3} - Ap^{\alpha-1} \neq 0$, and $p^2 | B - 1$. If $A = 0, B = 2$ or 3, it is easy to see that (2) has no solutions. For other situations, we know the possible solution is $n = p^\alpha$ with $3 \leq \alpha \leq 5$ and $p^2 | B - 1$, but then $n = p^\alpha \leq p^5 \leq (1 + |B|)^{5/2} \leq (|A| + |B|)^3$. A contradiction!

Case 2: If $\omega(n) = 2$, we write $n = p^\alpha q^\beta$. If $\alpha \geq 3$, then $n = p \cdot p^{\alpha-1} \cdot q^\beta$. A contradiction! Therefore $\alpha \leq 2$, with the same reason, $\beta \leq 2$.

If $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 2)$, we can write $n = p \cdot q \cdot pq$. A contradiction!

If $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 2)$, then

$$\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = 1 + p^2 + p^2q^2 + q^2 + q^4 = Apq^2 + B \leq (|A| + |B|)pq^2,$$

this implies $p < |A| + |B|, q^2 < (|A| + |B|)p$, hence

$$n = pq^2 < (|A| + |B|)^2 p < (|A| + |B|)^3.$$

A contradiction!

Similarly if $(\alpha, \beta) = (2, 1)$, we have $n < (|A| + |B|)^3$.

Finally, if $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$, (3) follows immediately from (2), with this we prove (iii).

From the above argument, for $(A, B) = (1, 1)$, it's easy to see that (3) has no solutions. Hence if $n > 8$, all the solutions of $\sigma_2(n) - n^2 = n + 1$ are $n = p^2$ with p a prime. If $n \leq 8$, the only solution is $n = 4$, with this we prove (ii). □

To prove Theorems 3-5, we first observe that they are actually different assignment of (A, B) in (2). By Theorem 2 we know that except for those computable solutions $n \leq (|A| + |B|)^3$, all the solutions are of the form $n = pq$, where p, q are distinct primes satisfying (3). Note that (3) is equivalent to

$$(2p - Aq)^2 - (A^2 - 4)q^2 = 4(B - 1). \tag{8}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Taking $A = L_{2m}$, $B = -F_{2m}^2 + 1$ in (8), then

$$(2p - L_{2m}q)^2 - (L_{2m}^2 - 4)q^2 = -4F_{2m}^2.$$

By (i) of Lemma 1, the above equation becomes $(2p - L_{2m}q)^2 - 5F_{2m}^2 q^2 = -4F_{2m}^2$, this implies $F_{2m}|2p - L_{2m}q$. Write $2p - L_{2m}q = uF_{2m}$, where u is an integer, then we deduce that $u^2 - 5q^2 = -4$. By Lemma 2 we have $(u, q) = (\pm L_{2k+1}, F_{2k+1})$ for some nonnegative integer k.

Case 1: $(u, q) = (L_{2k+1}, F_{2k+1})$, then $p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}F_{2k+1} + L_{2k+1}F_{2m})$. By (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 1 we have $p = F_{2k+1+2m}$. Hence $n = F_{2k+1}F_{2k+2m+1}$, where both F_{2k+1} and $F_{2k+2m+1}$ are primes.

Case 2: $(u, q) = (-L_{2k+1}, F_{2k+1})$, then $p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}F_{2k+1} - L_{2k+1}F_{2m})$.

If $2k + 1 > 2m$, by (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 1 we have $p = F_{2k+1-2m}$. Hence $n = F_{2k+1}F_{2k+1-2m}$.

If $2k + 1 < 2m$, by (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 1 we have $p = F_{2m-2k-1}$. Hence $n = F_{2k+1}F_{2m-2k-1}$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Taking $A = L_{2m}$, $B = L_{2m}^2 - 3$ in (8), then

$$(2p - L_{2m}q)^2 - (L_{2m}^2 - 4)q^2 = 4(L_{2m}^2 - 4). \tag{9}$$

By (i) of Lemma 1, we have $L_{2m}^2 - 4 = 5F_{2m}^2$, hence it follows from (9) that $2p - L_{2m}q = 5F_{2m}u$ for some integer u. Then (9) becomes $q^2 - 5u^2 = -4$. By Lemma 2 we have $(q, u) = (L_{2k+1}, \pm F_{2k+1})$ for some integer $k \geq 0$.

Case 1: $(q, u) = (L_{2k+1}, F_{2k+1})$, by (ii) of Lemma 1 we have $p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k+1} + 5F_{2m}F_{2k+1}) = L_{2m+2k+1}$. Hence, $n = L_{2k+1}L_{2k+2m+1}$.

Case 2: $(q, u) = (L_{2k+1}, -F_{2k+1})$, then

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k+1} - 5F_{2m}F_{2k+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k+1} + 5F_{2m}F_{2k+1}) - 5F_{2m}F_{2k+1}.$$

If $2m > 2k + 1$, by (ii) and (v) of Lemma 1 we have $p = -L_{2m-2k-1}$, which is impossible!

If $2m < 2k + 1$, by (ii) and (v) of Lemma 1 we have $p = L_{2k+1-2m}$, hence $n = L_{2k+1}L_{2k+1-2m}$, which is the same as in Case 1. □

Proof of Theorem 5. Taking $A = L_{2m}$ and $B = 5 - L_{2m}^2$ in (8), then

$$(2p - L_{2m}q)^2 - (L_{2m}^2 - 4)q^2 = 4(4 - L_{2m}^2).$$

By (ii) of Lemma 1, we have $L_{2m}^2 - 4 = 5F_{2m}^2$, thus we can write $2p - L_{2m}q = 5F_{2m}u$ for some integer u. The above equation becomes $q^2 - 5u^2 = 4$. Solving this Pell-type equation we deduce that $(q, u) = (L_{2k}, \pm F_{2k})$ for some integer $k \geq 0$.

Case 1: $(q, u) = (L_{2k}, F_{2k})$. By Lemma 1 we have $p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k} + 5F_{2m}F_{2k}) = L_{2m+2k}$, hence $n = L_{2k}L_{2k+2m}$.

Case 2: $(q, u) = (L_{2k}, -F_{2k})$, then

$$p = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k} - 5F_{2m}F_{2k}) = \frac{1}{2}(L_{2m}L_{2k} + 5F_{2m}F_{2k}) - 5F_{2m}F_{2k},$$

If $m > k$, then by Lemma 1 we have $p = F_{2m-2k}$, and hence $n = F_{2m-2k}F_{2k}$, note that p, q are distinct primes, therefore $k \neq \frac{m}{2}$.

If $m \leq k$, then by Lemma 1 we have $p = F_{2k-2m}$, and hence $n = F_{2k-2m}F_{2k}$. □

Proof of Theorem 6. Without loss of generality we assume $k \geq 0$. Let $n > (|A| + k^2 + 1)^3$ be a solution of (7), it follows from (iii) of Theorem 2 that $n = pq$, where p, q are distinct primes satisfying $p^2 + q^2 - k^2 = Apq$. Let $p < q$, note that $q|(p - k)(p + k)$.

If $p = k$, then we have $q = Ak$ and $n = Ak^2 < (|A| + k^2 + 1)^3$. A contradiction!

If $p < k$, then $p + k < 2k$. Since $p < q$, we must have $q|p + k$ and hence $n < 2k^2 < (|A| + k^2 + 1)^3$. A contradiction!

If $p > k$, then $q|p + k$, note that $2q > 2p > p + k$, it must be $q = p + k$. Thus $A = (p^2 + q^2 - k^2)/pq = 2$ and $n = p(p + k)$. For $p \geq 3$, k must be even.

Conversely, if $A = 2$, k is even and both p and $p + k$ are primes, then it's easy to see that $n = p(p + k)$ is a solution of (7). □

Finally, we raise the following

Question. Let a, b and c be given integers, when does the equation

$$\sigma_2(n) = an^2 + bn + c$$

has infinitely many solutions and how to solve this equation?

We have discussed the case $a = 1$. For $a \geq 2$, it's still unknown.

References

[1] T. Andreescu, D. Andrica and I. Cucurezeanu, An introduction to Diophantine equations, Birkhauser, 2010.

[2] T. Cai, D. Chen and Y. Zhang, Perfect numbers and Fibonacci primes (I), to appear in Int. J. Number Theory.

[3] L. E. Dickson, History of the theory of numbers, Vol. I, Chelsea, New York, 1923.

[4] R. K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, 3rd edition, Springer, 2004.

[5] Fibonacci and Phi Formulae, `http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibFormulae.html`.

[6] Fibonacci Prime, `http://mathworld.wolfram.com/FibonacciPrime.html`.

[7] Lucas Prime, `http://mathworld.wolfram.com/LucasPrime.html`.

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: txcai@zju.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore, 119076, Singapore
E-mail address: mathlqwang@163.com

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, People's Republic of China

College of Mathematics and Computing Science, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha, 410114, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: zhangyongzju@163.com